

## VIII. When You Cure the Disease You Cure the Money!

In 1993 *the New England Journal of Medicine* came out with a very well-research report stating that 70% of our diseases are lifestyle related, and that eight of the nine leading causes of death here in America are lifestyle related. Even though official sources seem to *agree* with us that most disease is self-generated, most people still hold the hazy idea that disease is something mysterious that attacks them from outside and there is very little they can do about it. It's just the luck of the draw. So, in their confusion, they to their doctor for every ache and pain, cold, cough, fever or rash, expecting some sort of magic "silver bullet" prescription pill to cure every ill before they leave the office.

America has the most expensive and technologically advanced healthcare system the world has ever seen. In 2016, the U.S. spent \$3,337 billion on national health expenditures. Almost 18% of the entire gross domestic product of the nation was spent treating us for disease. If this approach was working, we would be the healthiest country on the planet, but instead we are getting sicker. Diabetes is skyrocketing, Alzheimer's disease is through the roof, autism has reached unprecedented levels, obesity is off the charts, arthritis is endemic, cancer is epidemic, the rates of multiple sclerosis, lupus, asthma, migraines, have all hit the ceiling! More people have heart attacks, contract heart disease, suffer from strokes, chronic disease of the kidneys, liver and other organs. Many more people have joint, neck and back pain, suffer from stress, anxiety and depression, have acid reflux, ulcers and stomach problems than ever before. More people are on psychiatric drugs. More kids are being diagnosed with ADD, ADHD, chronic fatigue, insomnia, bad skin, acne, dandruff and other conditions.

Despite hundreds of billions being spent in the war against cancer, we are told we have a one in three chance of contracting cancer today as compared to with a one in ten chance back in the 1970s. One in every 1 in 2.5 people are now going to get cancer. So, you can go in a room of 30 people and count, "One, two, you're going to get it. One, two, three, you're going to get it. One, two, you're going to get it. One, two, three, you're going to get it." Out of twenty-five people in a room, ten are going to have cancer sometime in their life!

When you cure cancer, you cure \$150 billion dollar a year industry. They'll tell you there *is* no cure. Then why am I seeing people cured of it almost every other day?

The answer is, they're being honest – because in their realm – there *really is* no cure!

According to the American Medical Association's *own figures*, Medical Care has become the third leading cause of death in the United States after heart disease and cancer. When you consider that we regularly see cancer and heart disease reversed with the correct protocols, then mainstream medicine is also responsible for misinforming people and miseducating them about potential cures that could help them. So, the mainstream medical paradigm is actually the leading causes of death.

"We will kill you in six weeks." That's what mainstream doctors should be telling cancer patients instead of, "You've got six weeks to live."

And all the while, someone is definitely making a killing – because the leading cause of bankruptcy in the USA is now MD-directed medical therapeutics!

People will object that mainstream medical care also saves lives, and that is true, but largely in the area of trauma crisis-care. The mainstream doctors would like to take credit for the disappearance of conditions like polio, cholera, rickets, tuberculosis, scurvy, and leprosy, but these actually went away because of better nutrition, cleaner water and food, and modern sewage systems that were more hygienic and sanitary. People's housing and living standards, as well as the conditions they worked in, all improved dramatically in the 19<sup>th</sup> century and most of the things we take for granted like an indoor toilet that flushes and clean running water through the faucet were not widely available in the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. In the 1950s half of the people still didn't yet have a telephone, washing machine, refrigerator, or central heating. Even the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) *admitted* that, "Since 1900, the average lifespan of persons in the United States have lengthened by greater than 30 years; 25 years of this gain are attributable to advances in public health." All considered, medical care for illness increases the lifespan of Americans by less than four years, while preventative care including blood pressure and cancer screening, counselling about smoking, routine immunization, and aspirin to prevent heart attacks add only 18 to 19 months to our lives. The rest is down to other factors. Life expectancy is actually now getting lower under the watch of the mainstream doctors. Their patients just get sicker and sicker until they die. We have to ask ourselves why there is such an epidemic of disease in this country when we supposedly have the highest advances of training in medical schools. We have at least \$80 billion a year funneled into the NIH and the AMA for disease research, and even into the World Health Organization. And the answer to that question in my opinion is that they are keeping two subject matters from medical school training: nutritional biochemistry, which is the building blocks of a human, and homotoxicology which is the study of toxins to the DNA or to the cells.

The scientific community dangles bait in front of the public promising magic bullet cures and claims that with just a little bit more time and money, the mystery to every disease known to man will be solved. The proof is in the pudding. In the 20<sup>th</sup> century alone, we have had access to the most brilliant medical minds, advanced space-age technology such as four-dimensional PET Scans, ultrasonic probes, electron-scintillation cell counters, microprocessor life support systems, scoping devices and death-defying heart transplants that dazzle the human mind, and they still are no closer to a cure than they were from the 1950s for anything, including the common cold, let alone cancer. Hundreds of billions of dollars in research money later, all the major medical breakthroughs of recent decades have been in surgery and trauma crisis care, they have rarely made any significant progress in the fight against any of the major diseases that affect most people during their lives. We don't need more high-tech, high-cost treatments for preventable diseases – we need to prevent diseases! But we will keep on getting them because it's not about science – it's about economics!

The mainstream doctors excel at trauma care, but the problem is they are using trauma medicine for every single disease process known to man. They're using trauma medicine for headaches. They're using trauma medicine for the common cold. They're using trauma medicine for diabetes and arthritis, and that only works in trauma situations to buy you time until you can fix the problem of the underlying disease process itself. When the only tool in the box is a hammer, every problem looks like a nail. The trouble is, it's the wrong tool for the job. The evidence of this is that the mainstream doctors have been in charge

of treatment for a century or more and they have completely failed to cure any of the major degenerative, metabolic, or autoimmune diseases that are likely to affect most people during their lifetime, in fact they have all become more common.

Not only that, but they've gone to the U.S. government to ban anyone else from saying that *they* can cure any of these diseases. The FDA – thought to be an impartial government regulatory agency necessary to keep us citizens safe from dangerous and unproven medical treatments – has legislated to make it illegal for any company that does not sell pharmaceuticals to say that its products treat specific diseases. How's that for impartial? According to them, the only thing that can treat a disease is a drug. Even if you have people swearing blind that they had cancer or diabetes and don't have it anymore, and the mainstream doctors have the before-and-after scans to prove it, natural doctors are not still allowed to claim they cured you. It's against the law. You have to be careful what you say. You can be put in jail. Max Gerson had to flee to Mexico to continue reversing cancer, and he wasn't the only one. Herbert Shelton was arrested, jailed, and fined three times for practicing medicine without a license, even though he was completely against the use of drugs. How could he have been practicing medicine if he was against the use of the only thing the mainstream doctors consider to be medicine? By telling people to fast and eat a raw vegetarian diet? In their own words: fasting doesn't cure anything, detox is a lie, and food is all just "calories in – calories out." In that case how can prescribing a dietary change qualify as practicing medicine?

People have trust in their institutions, and expect the CDC, FDA, AMA, NIH, and WHO, however imperfectly, to fundamentally be looking out for their interests – but that is like trusting the foxes to guard the chicken coop. Actually, they are depriving people of their basic right to listen to the experts on all sides and evaluate the evidence in order to decide what's best for themselves and their families. Big Pharma can't compete on an even playing field, so they have to slander and ban us. It's quite ridiculous that many of the egotistical proponents of mainstream medicine dismiss everything we do as *quackery* when they are the ones advocating treatments like chemotherapy which, according to one of *their own journals* – *The Journal of Clinical Oncology* (2004) – has an average 5-year success rate of only 3% for all cancers and can run up to \$250,000! No Naturopath has ever prescribed a treatment that caused about 140,000 heart attacks and 60,000 deaths like Vioxx did, or 94,000 cases of breast cancer like Hormone Replacement Therapy did, or created an epidemic of opiate addiction like Oxycontin has. There are so many more examples like these, but the skeptics all come after us! They don't attempt to debunk the many mainstream treatments which are harmful and ineffective. Big Pharma have the funds to fight back and the clout to end careers. They have the regulators in their pockets and influence the editorial policy of medical journals by paying for advertising in them.

We lean very heavily towards allopathic medicine in our society because that's all our insurance companies and government will pay for. Medical doctors only know what they have been trained in. You will not be allowed to become a medical doctor unless you accept the underlying dogmas of the system. You'll fail their tests, and you won't get your license to practice. Imagine if, in order to study physics at college, you needed to believe that there was a strange substance called ether that held the objects of the cosmos in place, like Aristotle believed. All the physicists would laugh at anyone who tried to come up with Newton's theory of gravity because it didn't fit with the existing theory in place. Any scientists who would have been open minded to the truth would have been excluded

from getting PhDs. People who advocated the theory of gravity would be considered kooks because they didn't go through the system and didn't have letters after their names. Then whenever anyone questioned the ether hypothesis they would be ridiculed and people would say, "All the qualified experts agree that ether holds the stars and planets in place! You must be anti-science to believe these kooks who are questioning it, and none of them even have PhDs!" That's where we are with medicine. People who are open minded are excluded from the system, and if they speak up everyone is told they are not even doctors

Even still, within mainstream medicine, there's a few of the doctors that said, "I'm going alternative. Even though I got the Medical Degree, I'm doing the herbs, the botanicals, the chelators. I'm doing nutrition." But they get ostracized. They end up like Wakefield. They really get attacked hard. If doctors do their own research and expose the lies, they can't tell patients the truth and prescribe alternative treatments in the USA. They have made it illegal for doctors to prescribe anything but drugs or surgery. Then they track down anyone who is helping people heal naturally, with anything other than conventional medicine, and serve them papers them for practicing medicine without a license! You can't do it if you're a doctor. They don't allow it. It's medical mafia in the United States. You got to use their program. If they catch you doing herbs or botanicals on the side without writing scripts, they'll take your license.

I feel for the doctors to want to stick up for them in this realm, their hands are tied by bureaucratic tape. They can't write what they want they can't do any diagnostics that aren't approved by HMOs, PPOs, Medicare, Medicaid and insurance companies. Insurance companies are saying "I will cover this CPT code of this drug, but I will not cover this other alternative. I will cover a mammography that causes breast cancer, but I won't cover a thermography or an ultrasound." So, they are using the doctors as legal script writers and they're molding them into legal butchers because 80% of the surgeries out there are unnecessary.

The drug industry's fuel billions and billions of dollars into Harvard into Baylor into Dartmouth and all of the institutions. They have made a tremendous investment of billions of dollars of their own money and how do they get the investment back? The paychecks and the textbooks are written by the drug companies. They mold their own professors and put them strategically into the universities. Since the best people to advertise to doctors are other doctors, they stroke their egos, and make them feel very important. They are paid to put their names to ghost-written articles in medical journals. Doctors who are favorable to industry can become celebrities, jetting off to speaker-training sessions at luxury hotel's courtesy of Pfizer, and then paid four figures for speaking engagements at great big "educational" conferences. At these marketing events the "expert" speakers tell the doctors about the latest, up-to-date research on new treatments, all paid for by the drug companies. The attendees all trust their own critical-thinking skills, but it's been proven time and again that the drugs that are most heavily marketed to physicians are the ones that are most likely to be prescribed.

Well, now the thing is that the ND training at Bastyr, Southwest, National is the same model as allopathic. The tentacles of AMA and FDA got inside our university teachings for naturopathic medicine as well, and now a lot of the NDs are just symptom-suppressing naturally instead of symptom suppression pharmacologically. They still don't know the

underlying etiology. They don't know that. They don't know how to detox. They don't know how to chelate. They're using EDTA, DMPS and DMSA chelators as blood chelators. I don't like them because they are still toxic to your kidneys.

Research in the naturopathic medical realm is also underfunded for many reasons, most of them economic – they have nothing to do with health or science. Firstly, natural remedies cannot be patented. This creates a tendency for profit-seeking companies to pursue research on pharmaceutical compounds which the government can give the manufacturer a monopoly on. Big Pharma have captured the regulatory system and all the government funds are dished out to medical companies, but hardly anything is allocated to natural remedies or research. When independent researchers are able to look at naturopathic remedies, the chances are doctors will never even see it without government and industry funding. Another reason is that our remedies and processes sometime actually reverse disease. They can't be prescribed indefinitely for more profit.

Most of the money spent in health care is spent on end-of-life care for older patients with chronic diseases and serious conditions. As people get sicker and sicker, more and more expensive treatments are administered to extend their lives. With the naturopathic approach centered on preventing disease where possible and curing it where necessary, this represents a major threat to their financial interests, and studies on natural remedies just disappear into the ether. They do not appear in the mainstream medical literature. If the doctors don't see the studies, how can they prescribe them? They are acting to the best of their knowledge, but their knowledge is limited by what is put in front of them.

The medical training curriculum in the top university medical schools of Harvard, Baylor and Stanford make sure that the medical doctors are not taught the most important subject matters of symptoms verses disease. Remember, these are 20-year-old kids that want to a lucrative career helping people as MDs. They're sitting in front of a professor in a position of trust with a white coat who's probably on the payroll of a drug company. So, due to the infiltration of millions of dollars in the form of grant money or research money, they make sure that they do not teach the two subject matters that are the answers for cellular disease treatments: homotoxicology and nutritional biochemistry.

Medical doctors are ignorant about the toxic assaults against the DNA, cell membrane, genetic material, and the deficiencies of nutritional elements that are the building blocks of the cells. The reason why these two subject matters are totally eliminated in medical school is because if they were ever taught that toxins cause cellular damage, they would have to point their finger at their own profession and question their own toxic medicine, surgical knives of butchery, unnecessary organ removal, nuclear radio isotopes, chemotherapy and radiation. The students would say, "Well, Professor, you're telling us that toxins, X-Rays, and the category of synthetic compounds are the main cause of disease and cellular malfunction. Then why are we writing symptom suppressive prescriptions with multiple side effects? What about our toxic pharmaceuticals? Why are we using mustard-gas derived chemotherapy cocktails, radiation which causes cancer, and these radio isotopes and medial contrast dyes that cause DNA damage?"

All these treatments rob nutritional elements, make cellular respiration worse, cause dehydration, wipe out the immune system, and make cellular pH more acidic, allowing future opportunistic infections and disease to flourish.

Pharmaceutical chemistry will never work. And they still look there. Because it's economics. It's business. It has nothing to do with science. And there's a few authors wanting to change. But it's a small percentage of MDs that really want to change. I mean, think about it, they spent all their money in med-school and invested years of their life in their education. They're a quarter million dollars in debt by the time they get out with all their loans. And then they've got to make a decision after I tell them, "Hey! Your model can't work!" whether to keep in and do it for money and turn their head and hope it doesn't hurt somebody - or they switch careers. That's what they'd have to deal with.

There is a simple explanation to every disease known to man, but they are hiding it from the medical students in the universities because if they understood the disease epidemiology and the underlying logic, they would have to prescribe different treatment modalities to get to the root, and so they're just molded as symptomologists. The body is always striving for health in the quickest, most efficient way it can. If it's forced to handle more than it can contend with it starts to become sick. The more critical the problem, the more intense the warning sign. If the warnings are either ignored or masked with drugs, what starts out as a situation that could have been easily corrected is allowed to progress until it becomes life threatening.